# 2016 Almilia & Wulandiyta (IRJBS)

by 2016 Almilia & Wulanditya (irjbs)

Submission date: 17-Jan-2020 09:29AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID: 1242889638** 

File name: 2016\_Almilia\_Wulanditya.pdf (141.23K)

Word count: 4958

Character count: 27988



BUSINESS
STUDIES

ISSN: 2089-6271 | e-ISSN: 2338-4565



Vol. 9 No. 1

# The Effect of Overconfidence and Experience on Belief Adjustment Model in Investment Judgement

Lucia Spica Almilia, Putri Wulanditya

STIE Perbanas, Jl. Nginden Semolo No. 34-36, Surabaya, 60118, Jawa Timur, Indonesia

#### ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords: step by step, end of sequence, investment judgement, overconfidence, experimental method

### ABSTRACT

This study examines the effect overconfidence and experience on increasing or reducing the information order effect in investment decision making. Subject criteria in this research are: p10 ssional investor (who having knowledge and experience in the field of investment and stoc10 narket) and nonprofessional investor (who having knowledge in the field of investment and stock market). Based on the subject criteria, then subjects in this research include: accounting students, capital market and investor. This research is using experimental method of 2 x 2 (between subjects). The researcher in conducting this experimental research is using web based. The characteristic of individual (high confidence and low confidence) is measured by calibration test. Independent variable used in this research consist of 2 active independent variables (manipulated) which are as the followings: (1) Pattern of information presentation step by step and end of sequence); and (2) Presentation order (good news - bad news or bad news - good news). Depgdent variable in this research is a revision of investment decision done by research subject. Participants in this study were 78 nonprofessional investor and 48 professional investors. The research result is consistent with that predicted that individuals who have a high level of confidence that will tend to ignore the information available, the impact on individuals with a high level of confidence will be spared from the effects of the information sequence.

# SARI PATI

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah menguji pengaruh overconfidence dan pengalaman yang dapat meningkatkan atau mengurangi efek urutan dalam pengambilan keputusan investasi. Kriteria subjek dalam penelitian ini adalah investor profesional (investor yang memiliki pengetahuan dan pengalaman di bidang investasi dan pasar modal) dan investor non profesional (investor yang memiliki pengetahuan di bidang investasi dan pasar modal. Berdasarkan kriteria tersebut yang menjadi subjek penelitian adalah ma

Corresponding author: almilia\_spica@yahoo.com dibidang pasar modal dan investor. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode eksperimen 2 x 2 (between 4 pjects). Penelitian eksperimen yang dilakukan berbasis web. Karakteristik individual diukur dengan menggunakan tes kalibrasi. Variabel independen ya 4 dimanipulasi dalam penelitian ini meliputi: (1) pola penyajian (step by step dan end of sequence); dan (2) urutan informasi (berita baik diikuti berita buruk atau berita buruk diikuti berita baik). Variabel dependen dalam penelitian ini adalah revisi keputusan investasi yang dilakukan oleh subjek penelitian. Partisipa 4 dalam penelitian ini terdiri dari 78 investor non profesional dan 48 investor profesional. Hasil penelitian ini konsisten dengan prediksi bahwa individu yang memiliki tingkat kepercayaan diri yang tinggi cenderung mengabaikan informasi yang tersedia, hal ini berdampak bahwa individu dengan tingkat kepercayaan diri yang tinggi terhindar dari efek urutan.

© 2016 IRJBS, All rights reserved.

# INTRODUCTION

Enclosure practices in Indonesia are varied, for example an annual report published by a company listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange. A phenomenon associated with differences in the presentation of accounting information curs in go public firms in Indonesia. In 2015, Kalbe Farma, Tbk presents financial statements and annual report separately while Indo Farma, Tbk presenting the financial statements and annual reports in one report. This will have an impact on decisions to be taken by the investor. Feoh and Shiu (1990) provide empirical evidence that financial information is more important than social responsibility report for investors in Australia. This cause an investor will most likely see the information about financial performance ahead of the non-financial information. At the presentation of the differences expressed by the two firms, inve 23 are more likely to choose the company with the financial statements and annual reports separately. This happens because investors will see directly on the company's financial performance information contained in the financial statements without having to see the annual report formerly. A number of previous researches (Pinsker, 2007; Baird and Zelin II, 2000; Tuttle, Coller and Burton, 1997) examined the effect of information order

and enclosure pattern in investment judgment. Other researches (Chandra, 2009; Mital and Vyas, 2009; Chen *et al.* 2007; Barber and Odean 2 001) examined the overconfidence in the investment decision making on non professional investor. This research is a further research to test the existence of the investment decision model.

The phenomenon of judgment bias and various patterns of enclosure have been the motivation on why this research needs to be done. There has been no research trying to relate the dimension of individual characteristics on the context of belief adjustment, moreover there is the existence of various results on overconfidence phenomenon in decision making setting. The research result on the overconfidence phenomenon showed that the individual characteristics could cause overconfidence was: income level (Mital and Vyas, 2009; Chandra, 2009; Chen et al., 2007); education level (Chandra, 2009); adult age (Chandra, 2009; Chen et al, 2007); male (Barber and Odean, 2001); experience (Chen et al., 2007); active investor (Chen et al., 2007). This research would try to combine the characteristics of investor which is overconfidence and the belief adjustment done by investor.

The objective 26 this research is to examine the effect of overconfidence in investment decision of professional and non-professional investors. The result of this research is expected to be able to give contribution meaning for financial accounting literature, methodology, practice, and policy. This research gives contribution in accounting literature. In the previous research done by the researcher, the overconfidence variable measured was not manipulated, in this research overconfidence variable is manipulated by using calibration test. Individual characteristic of overconfidence (manipulated by using calibration testing) are expected to be able to enrich the research findings related to belief adjustment model in investment de esion making setting. Moreover, the testing of belief adjustment model in investment decision making setting will be more robust.

# LITERATURE AND HYPHOTESIS DEVELOPMENT Conceptual Judgment Model and Belief Adjustment Model

Conceptual judgment model developed by Hogarth (1994) consisted of three elements. The first element was the individual who made the judgment; the second was the task environment of individual who made the judgment; the third was the action that was the result of judgment, then, affected the individual and his or her task environment. Judgment was a cognitive aspect from the process of decision making.

Hogarth and Einhom (1992) proposed a belief adjustment model that propotioning an individual processed information sequential would use anchoring and adjustment process. The main ben to of belief adjustment model developed by Hoharth and Einhorn (1992) was that not only three main characteristics from the evidence used in Bayes' Theorem (direction, power, and type) but also broaden Bayes' Theorem by including two additional characteristics ignored in Bayes' Theorem which were information order and information presentation model.

Almilia, et al. (2013a) examined (1) the effect of information order in investment judgment: (2) the effect of information presentation pattern in investment judgment. The research result showed that "judgment bias", especially the recency effect, would be higher when the information presentation pattern is sequent [14].

Almilia (2013b) examined the existence of Belief Adjustment model developed by Hogarth and Einhorn (1992) in investment decision judgment; anchor test (the previous belief) in investment judgment; the benefit of accounting and non-accounting information; and the difference level of confidence that may cause the presence of differences in interpreting and processing information in order to produce different prediction of performance as well. O 25 all, the result of this research shows that belief revision model of Hogarth Einhorn (1992) was partially hold in investment judgment.

Almilia and Supriyadi (2013c) examined the order effect in investment judgment. The result of this research showed the presence of order effect in investment decision making setting, which was recency effect if the disclosure pattern was step by step (SbS). The result also gave the evidence that there was no recency effect if the disclosure pattern was end of sequence (EoS).

# The Effect of Evidence Order and Disclosure

ifter effect occurs when individual decision is different after receiving evidence with different order. In the evidence order, it is need between confirmed information (positive) and disconfirmed information (negative). If the beginning information in the order has a great effect on individual belief, so the order effect is primacy effect. In contrary, if the last information gives a great effect, so it is recency effect.

Ashton and Ashton (1988) and Tubbs *et al.*, (1990)

1 bwed that the recency effect could not be

found if evidence received denot give consistent information both consistent conformation and disconfirmation. In contrary, the recency effect occurred when the evaluated evidence had mixed information that was confirmative and disconfirmative. This model predeted the recency effect when the individual evaluated short series of evidence that was complex and mixed evidence (positive and negative evidence). The short series of evidence consisted maximum of 12 items of evidence. Complexity related to familiarity of task and the length of evidence. The combined or mixed evidence was the evidence consisting positive and negative items.

Bamber et al. (1997) gave a strong support on the description validity of belief adjustment model. Belief adjustment model predicte 9 the order effect on all cases of response model (step by step or end of sequence), the task complexity and its information length. The argument support of order effect in step by step disclosure patt (SbS) was also supported by the research of Ahlawat (1999), Baird and Zelin II (2000); Guiral-Contreras et. al. (2007). Model Hogarth and Einhorn's (1992) predicted that the decision given after each evidence received that was known as Step by Step response model (SbS) tended that the presence of recency effect was found, while the decision given only once after all evidence received that was known as End of Sequence response model (EoS) tended that it did not produc tended that it did not produc EoS could reduce the recency effect because the contrary effect appeared from the information presented in order could be eliminated by combining the positive and negative evidence effect, so it would eliminate the individual effect of positive and negative evidence. Kennedy (1993) found that accountability reduced the recency effect in the decision of business failure possibility, Cushing and Ahlawat (1996) gave evidence that the recency effect could be reduced when the auditor required the document of going concern decision to be done. The reseach done by Koonce (1992) also showed that debiasing method was

the most effective method to reduce recency effect compared to accountability and data documentation.

Based on a number of research results mentioned above, it shows that there was inconsistency results related to the presence of effect order and factors that could reduce the order bias. The effect of experience on order bias was varied, some researches showed that experience would increase the order effect (Krull, Reckers and Wing, 1993; Arnold et al., 2000), but some other researches showed that experience could reduce the order effect (Messier and Tubbs, 1994; Trotman and Wright, 1996). Other researches also resulted factors that could reduce order effect in decision making: tra 12 g (Tubbs et al., 1993); task documentation (Cushing and Ahlawat, 1996); decision done in gro 12 (Ahlawat, 1999); the disclosure pattern of self review debiaser (Ashton and Kennedy, 2002).

Pinsker (2007) find that there was greater belief adjustment for information disclosure presented one by one (sequential) compared to information disclosure presented simultaneously both after the first series of consistent information (short series of information) and after the second serries of consistent information that had opposite direction (long series of information).

Trotman and Wright (1996) findings show that recency effect appeared on the participant with step by step (SbS) response model. The research of thom and Kennedy (2002) also give the similar evidence that end of sequence (EoS) method did not affect the presence of order effect. It indicated that end of sequence (EoS) disclosure pattern was the effective method in reducing recency effect in going concern decision done by auditor.

# Individual Characteristics

Some researches presented some behaviour bias performed by investor. In the context of decision making in the field of finance 20 onvidence had beed

- 42 -

studied by Mittal and Vyas (2009), Chandra (2009), and Chen et al. (2007). Self-attribution bias was often used as an explanation on the occurrence of overconfidence individual. Overconfidence is the tendency of individual to attribute the success or profitable result as the ability owned by individual, and assume that the unprofitable result or failure is out-of-control matter or is misfortune (Miller and Ross. 1975).

Statman et al. (2006) findings show that a higher overconfidence level caused a larger volume of trading as long as a high return in the past as the proxy of overconfidence. Overconfidence proxy in the research done by Barber and Odean (2001) was gender, they tested the psychological behaviour of investor and give the evidence that a overconfidence level for male was higher compared to female. Glaser and Weber (2007) findings show that investor who have ability of investments and the past performance above the average (but did not have the average performance in the past) would conduct more trading. Mittal and Vyas (2009) indicated that factors affecting overconfidence investor was the ability and earnings. Chen et al. (2007) examined differences based on characteristics of the investor. Chen et al. (2007) identified five characteristics of the investor who is believed to be the determining factor in behavioral biases and trading mistakes. Specifically, the researchers identified: (a) an experienced investor, (b) investors who has mature age, (c) an active investor, (d) investors who have the high wealth / prosperity, (e) Investors who are from the metropolitan city.

The arguments used by Chen *et al.* (2007) related to characteristics of investor and trading behavior bias is: **first**, investors who have a lot of experience learned to be come more rational. So the experience may represent survivor bias. **Second**, investors who have adult age. Younger individuals tend to have a high education and hope to participate in capital markets, whereas older individuals have more experience of life. **Third**, active investors, the more often investors trade, the sooner investors

gain experience. As mentioned earlier, the bias increasing of experienced investors may be lower. Fourth, property investors, individuals who are rich / wealthy have more knowledge about finance rather than other individuals. It is possible that individuals who are wealthy / rich may be more overconfident. Fifth, the investor who comes from the metropolis/big city, the investor from the big city is a better investor in terms of knowledge than other investors. Researcher provided evidence that the individual investor trading decisions is worse than an institutional investor in China. The results also showed surprising result that investors who are mature and investors who are rich / wealthy are not good investors in investment decisions making.

Self-Deception Theory is based on the argument that when a person behaves overconfident and gets feedback then he/she will know the mistakes that he/she had made. Specifically, when the involved person knows the results of the prediction and prediction standard, then, the awareness that he/ she has been trapped in the forming of improper belief will arise. At this stage, the individual will realize that he/she has made self-deception by giving an excessive evaluation on the accuracy of knowledge level they have exceeding the actual level of knowledge, so that tends to give a high probability to the truth of judgment. This can be proven by the presence of prediction deviations that is relatively high from the average predictions or standard prediction to put in a position of obtaining lost. Based on the argument mentioned above, the hypothesis proposed in this research is: H1: Overconfidence Investor tends to be not affected by the effects of sequence information.

# METHODS

Subject criteria in this research are: having knowledge and experience in the field of investment and stock market and financial report analysis. Based on the subject criteria, then subjects in this research include: professional and nonprofessional investors. This research is using

an experiment which is a method to examine the causal relationship with some variables manipulated to answer the research problem.

This research is using experimental method of 2 x 2 (between subjects). The researcher in conducting this experimental research is using web based, which is an experiment that is done by the researcher by asking subjects to open a website address that has been designed by the researcher in the form of interactive media. The characteristic of individual (high confidence and low confidence) is measured by calibration testing. Independent variable used in this research consist of 2 active independent variables (manipulated) which are as the followings:



- Pattern of information presentation (step by step and end of sequence).
- 2. Presentation order (++- or -++)

Dependent variable in this research is a revision of investment judgment done by research subject. The judgment revision meant is the determination of corporate stock rate. The determination of stock rate meant is that the subject is asked to re-evaluate the investment value for each type of information and pattern of information presentation (*step by step, end of sequence* and *self review debiaser*) by evaluating corporate stock initial value of Rp19.000,00; and giving a scale for each disclosure by multiple price of -1.22 (very bad news) and +1.000 (very good news). The hypothesis testing in this research is using *independent sample t test*.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Participants in this study were accounting students who already have knowledge and experience in the field of investment and capital markets. The participant in this research includes 78 non-professional investors and 48 professional investors. Table 1 shows the distribution of participants in each experimental scenario. Table 1 also exhibits information on the distribution

of the subjects that are divided into 4 types of scenario: 35 people are in scenario 1; 28 people are in scenario 2; 33 people are in scenario 3; and 30 people are in scenario 4.

The results of calibration test show that all individuals in this study had a high level of confidence. High level of confidence in this study indicated that the level of confidence higher than the level of individual correct answers in the calibration test. Calibration testing conducted in this study with the following procedures: each participant was asked to answer and fill 3 of 5 random questions. This calibration test questionnaire consisted of five groups of questions so that each respondent will select and answer 15 of 25 questions randomly. Each respondent had to answer the question by selecting one of the two answers are available and then determine the level of confidence in the correct answer in the range of 50% - 100%. Individuals were categorized overconfidence if the percentage of correct answers to the confidence level is higher than the percentage of correct answers.

The Belief adjustment model of Hogarth and Einhorn (1992) predicts that the step by step presentation pattern will create a recency effect. Table 2 panel A shows that Nonprofessional and overconfidence investors do not experience the order effects when receive information with step by step pattern. It is shown there is no difference between the final judgments of individuals who receive the good news information followed by bad news information with the individual who receives the bad news information followed by good news information.

Table 2 panel A also shows that Nonprofessional and overconfidence investors experiencing the order effects when receive information with end of sequence pattern. It is shown there is difference between the final judgments of individuals who receive the good news information followed by bad news information with the individual who receives the bad news information followed by good news information.

2/27/17 10:49 AM

Luciana Spica Almilia, Putri Wulanditya / The Effect of Overconfidence and Experience on Belief Adjustment Model in Investment Judgement / 39-47

Table 1. Distribution of Participants Based on Experiment Scenario

Scenario	Type of reporting information	Sequence	Professional Investors	Non- Professional Investors	Total Participants
1	Step-by-Step	++	12	23	35
2	Step-by-Step	++	12	16	28
3	End-of-Sequence	++	11	22	33
4	End-of-Sequence	++	13	17	30
	Total Participant	s	48	78	126

Table 2.: The results summary of Hypothesis 1 Testing

Information disclosure types	Evidence Order	Mean	Results	t-stat	Sig.
Panel A: Non-Profess	sional Investors				
Step by Step	++	17.530	No Order Effect	-1.573	0.124
	-++	19.262			
End of Sequence	++	18.756	Recency Effect	-2.211	0.033
	-++	19.600			
Panel B: Professiona	l Investors				
Step by Step	++	13.333	Recency Effect	-2.046	0.053
	-++	18.833			
End of Sequence	++	17.182	No Order Effect	-0.853	0.403
	-++	18.846			

Table 2 panel B shows that Professional and overconfidence investors experiencing the order effects when receive information with step by step pattern. It is shown there is a difference between the final judgments of individua 2 who receive the good news information followed by bad news information with the individual who receives the bad news information followed by good news information.

Table 2 panel B also shows that Professional and overconfidence investors do not experience the order effects when receive information with end of sequence pattern. It is shown there is no difference between the final judgments of individuals who receive the good news information followed by

bad news information with the individual who receives the bad news information followed by good news information.

This result is not consistent with that predicted that individuals who have a high level of confidence that will tend to ignore the information available, the impact on individuals with a high level of confidence will be spared from the effects of the information sequence. The results showed there was effect of experience in investment decision making. In the nonprofessional investor, received effect will occur when the participants received information in the *EoS* presentation pattern. In contrast, the recency effect will occur for when the professional investors received information in the

SbS presentation pattern. In general, the relation of the study showed that the information order, the presentation pattern and the experience had a significant impact 2 the investment decision making, while the confident characteristics did not have any significant impact on the investment decision making. The results of this study indicate a bias in investment decision making.

Self-Deception Theory is based on the argument that when a person behaves overconfidence and obtain feedback then he will know the mistakes he had made. Specifically, when the people concerned know the results of prediction and prediction of default then there will be the realization that he has been caught up on the formation of an improper beliefs. Individuals who have a high level of confidence that will tend to ignore the information available, the impact on individuals with a high level of confidence will avoid from the order effects.

# MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

The findings of this study have an impact on the practice of presenting enterprise information. The individual have limited capacity of the individual cognitive. The limeted capacity of individual cognitive have impact on individual decision making. The information presented is too long and complex, impact on investors as a whole cannot absorb the information because the limited cognitive capacity. However, if the information presented is concise, then investors can absorb the information overall. One form of disclosure practices that make it easier for investors is financial highlights, which gives a summar 17 the company's financial performance is important.

The practical implication of the study showed that the complexity of the information could cause the decision making bias, especially the investment decision making. In practice, investors and capital market players were exposed to various information and not only the finance performance information, but other non-financial information that would surely had significant impact on the complexity of the resulting judgment (i.e., biased judgment) because of the increasingly various information given by the company to its stakeholders.

The theoretical implication of the study was the importance of the overconfidence factors in the investment decision making. Prior studies did not compare comprehensively the role of overconfidence factors in Hogarth and Einhorn's belief adjustment in various information presentation patterns.

# CONCLUSION

Individual characteristic of overconfidence (manipulated by using calibration test) are expected to be able to enrich the research findings related to belief adjustment model in investment decision making setting. The research results show that: (1) subjects that overconfidence do not experience the order effects when receive information with step by step pattern; and (2) subjects that overconfidence experiencing the order effects when receive information with end of sequence pattern. The results of this study provide evidence that the individual characteristics of overconfidence have effect on investment decisions, it is shown that the step by step pattern does not take place sequence effect and the end of sequence pattern occur order effects.

# REFERENCES

- Almilia, L. S., J. Hartono, Supriyadi and E. Nahartyo. (2013). Belief Adjustment Model in Investment Decision Making. Gadjah Mada International Journal of Business, 15(2): 171-182
- Almilia, L. S. and Supriyadi. (2013). Examining Belief Adjustment Model on Investment Decision Making. International Journal of Economics and Accounting, 4(2): 169-183.
- Ahlawat, S. S. (1999). Order Effect and Memory for Evidence in Individual versus Group Decision Making in Auditing. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 12: 71 – 88
- Arnold, V., P. A. Collier, S. A. Leech and S. G. Sutton. (2000). The effect of experience and complexity on order and recency bias in decision making by professional accountants. Accounting and Finance, 20: 109 – 134.
- Ashton, A. H., and R. H. Ashton. (1988). Sequential Belief Revision in Auditing. The Accounting Review, 64 (4): 623 641.
- Ashton, R.H., and J. Kennedy. (2002). Eliminating Recency With Self Review: The Case Of Auditors "Going Concern" Judgments. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 15: 221 – 231.
- Baird, Jane E. and Robert C. Zelin II. (2000). The Effects of Information Ordering on Investor Perceptions: An Experiment Utilizing Presidents' Letters. *Journal of Financial and Strategic Decisions*, 13(3): 71 80.
- Bamber, E. M., R. J. Ramsay and R. M. Tubbs. (1997). An Examination of The Descriptive Validity Of The Belief-Adjustment Model And Alternative Attitude To Evidence In Auditing. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22: 249 268.
- Barber, B. and T. Odean. (2001). Boys Will Be Boys: Gender, Overconfidence and Common Stock Investment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(1): 261 292.
- Chandra, A. (2009). Individual Investors' Trading Behavior and the Competence Effect. The Icfai University Journal of Behavioral Financ, 6(1): 56 – 70.
- Chen, G., K. A. Kim, J. R. Nofsinger and O. M. Rui. (2007). Trading performance, disposition effect, overconfidence, representativeness bias, and experience of emerging market investors. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 20: 425 451
- Cushing, B. E., and S. S. Ahlawat. (1996). Mitigation of Recency Bias In Auditing Judgment: The Effect of Documentation. Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory, 15: 110 – 122.
- Glaser, M. and M. Weber. (2007). Overconfidence and Trading Volume. Geneva Risk Insurance Review, 32: 1 36.
- Guiral-Contreras, Andres, Jose A. Gonzalo-Angulo and Waymon Rodgers. (2007). Information Content and Recency Effect of the Audit Report in Loan Rating Decisions. Accounting and Finance, 47: 285 – 304.
- Hogarth, R. M. (1994). Judgement and Choice. A Wiley Interscience Publication. Edisi Kedua.
- Hogarth, R. M. and H. J. Einhorn. (1992). Order Effect in Belief Updating: The Belief Adjustment Model. Cognitive Psychology, 24: 1 – 55.
- Kennedy, J. (1993). Debiasing Audit Judgment with Accountability: A Framework and Experimental Result. Journal of Accounting Research, 31: 231 – 245.
- Koonce, L. (1992). Explanation and Counterexplanation during Audit Analytical Review. The Accounting Review, 67: 59 76.
- Krull, George, Philip M. J. Reckers and Bernard Wong-On Wing. (1993). The Effect of Experience, Fraudulent Signals and Information Presentation Order on Auditors' Beliefs. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 12(2): 143 153.
- Messier, William F. and Richard M. Tubbs. (1994). Recency Effect in Belief Revision: The Impact of Audit Experience and the Review Process. Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 13(1): 57 – 72.
- Miller, D., and M. Ross. (1975). Self Serving Biases in Attribution of Causality: Fact or Fication? *Psychological Bulletin*, 26: 17 27.
- Mittal, M., and R. K. Vyas. (2009). Does Irrationality in Investment Decisions Vary with Income? The Icfai University Journal of Behavioral Finance, 6(1): 26 – 42.
- Pinsker, B. (2007). Long Series of Information and Nonprofessional Investors' Belief Revision. Behavioral Research in Accounting, 19: 197 – 214.
- Statman, M., S. Thorley and K. Vorkink. (2006). Investor Overconfidence and Trading Volume. Review of Financial Studies, 19: 1531 – 1565.
- Trotman, Ken T. and Arnold Wright. (1996). Recency Effect: Task Complexity, Decision Mode, and Task Specific Experience. Behavioral Research in Accounting, 8: 175 – 193.
- Tubbs, Richard M., W.F. Messier, and W. R. Knechel. (1990). Notes: Recency Effect in The Auditor's Belief Revision Process. The Accounting Review, 65(2): 452 – 480.
- Tubbs, Richard M., Gary J. Gaeth, Irwin P. Levin and Laura A. Vam Osdol. (1993). Order Effect in Belief Updating with Consistent and Inconsistent Evidence. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 6: 257 269.
- Tuttle, B., M. Coller, and F. G. Burton. (1997). An Examination of Market Efficiency: Information Order Effects in a Laboratory Market. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 22(1): 89 103.

# 2016 Almilia & Wulandiyta (IRJBS)

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT				
2 SIMILA	% ARITY INDEX	18% INTERNET SOURCES	8% PUBLICATIONS	% STUDENT PA	NPERS
PRIMAF	RY SOURCES				
1	www.ind Internet Sourc	erscienceonline.d	com		6%
2	journal.p	erbanas.ac.id			5%
3	jurnal.un Internet Sourc	mer.ac.id			2%
4	ejournal. Internet Source	uksw.edu <sub>e</sub>			1%
5	Nonprofe	Robert. "Long Seessional Investors al Research in A	s' Belief Revisi	on",	1%
6	Mohd Sa Conferent Economic	ew Lee, Yasuo Fam. "Recap of the nce on Pacific Batter, Accounting a of Pacific Basin F	e 22nd Annual sin Finance, nd Manageme	ent",	1%

7	repository.unair.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
8	id.scribd.com Internet Source	<1%
9	www.nusaduatravel.co.uk Internet Source	<1%
10	Delors, Jacques "Programme of the Commission for 1988. Address by Jacques Delors, President of the Commission, to the European Parliament. Strasbourg, 20 January 1988. Bulletin of the European Communities, Supplement 1/88", Archive of European Integration, 2011.  Publication	<1%
11	doaj.org Internet Source	<1%
12	Charles A. Brown. "Order Effects And The Audit Materiality Revision Choice", Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR), 2011 Publication	<1%
13	irjbs.com Internet Source	<1%
14	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	<1%
15	madoc.bib.uni-mannheim.de	



<1%

Wändi Bruine de Bruin. "Save the last dance for me: unwanted serial position effects in jury evaluations", Acta Psychologica, 2005

<1%

Publication

Guiral, Andre´s, Emiliano Ruiz, and Waymond Rodgers. "To What Extent Are Auditors' Attitudes toward the Evidence Influenced by the Self-Fulfilling Prophecy?", Auditing A Journal of Practice & Theory, 2011.

<1%

Publication

Arnold, Vicky. "The changing technological environment and the future of behavioural research in accounting", Accounting and Finance. 2016.

<1%

Publication

Exclude quotes

On

On

Exclude matches

< 3 words

Exclude bibliography