

NANANG SHONHADJI <nanang@perbanas.ac.id>

PERSETUJUAN DAN SURAT PERNYATAAN AUTHOR ARTIKEL SAUDARA DI JURNAL VENTURA

Ventura PPPM Perbanas <ventura@perbanas.ac.id> To: NANANG SHONHADJI <nanang@perbanas.ac.id> Wed, Dec 14, 2022 at 9:21 AM

Kepada Ysh. Sdr. Nanang Shonhadji,

Sehubungan dengan akan diterbitkannya artikel Saudara pada Journal of Economics, Business and Accountancy Ventura Vol. 25 No. 2 (August – November) 2022, berikut disampaikan revisi akhir artikel Saudara yang sudah disetujui oleh Editor kami, untuk selanjutnya kami meminta persetujuan Saudara selambatnya 3 (tiga) hari setelah email diterima, apabila Saudara tidak mereply email ini/memberikan tanggapan, maka kami anggap Saudara sudah menyetujui perubahan yang kami lakukan pada artikel Saudara tersebut.

Berikut kami kirimkan Surat Pernyataan Penulis untuk diisi dan dapat dikirimkan kembali ke Redaksi IEBAV.

Demikian atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

Hormat Saya,

Tritutik

Tim Redaksi Jebav

On Sun, Oct 23, 2022 at 4:05 PM NANANG SHONHADJI <nanang@perbanas.ac.id> wrote:

Kpd

Yth Tim Editor Jurnal JEBAV (Ventura)

Berikut saya kirimkan hasil revisi saya atas artikel yang telah di review oleh editor, reviewer 1 dan reviewer 2. Revisi telah saya lakukan berdasarkan masukan dan catatan yang telah diinformasikan oleh editor dan para reviewer pada file terlampir berikut:

atas bantuan dan kerjasamanya saya mengucapkan banyak terimakasih.

Regards,

Nanang shonhadji

On Wed, Oct 19, 2022 at 10:26 AM Ventura PPPM Perbanas <ventura@perbanas.ac.id> wrote:

Kepada Ysh. Sdr. Nanang Shonhadji,

Sehubungan dengan kiriman artikel Saudara yang berjudul:

Is Whistleblowing an Ethical Practice? (1835)

maka dengan ini kami kirimkan hasil review dari Editor on Board/Reviewer serta Template Artikel Ventura. (attachment). Revisi artikel Saudara kami tunggu selambatnya tanggal 26 Oktober 2022. Demikian disampaikan, atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya, diucapkan terima kasih.

Gaya Selingkung [EBAV, Template [EBAV bisa diunduh dihttps://journal.perbanas.ac.

id/index.php/jebav

Salam,

Tritutik

Tim Redaksi JEBAV

Mohon dicek kembali khususnya kutipan yang disunting wajib dimunculkan pada daftar reference, sedangkan kutipan yang tidak disunting tidak perlu dicantumkan dalam daftar reference. (Ketidaksesuaian Daftar Reference bukan menjadi tanggung Jawab Pengelola Jurnal, sebaiknya gunakan software Daftar Reference minimal Mendeley)

2 attachments



1835. 3222-10535-2-SM Artikel Revisi_EiC Acc.docx

104K



Reviewer Evaluation Form

A. GENERAL DATA ON PAPER

Manuscript No: 1835. 3222

Manuscript Title: Is Whistleblowing an Ethical Practice?

B. GENERAL EVALUATION OF THE PAPER

Please check the appropriate option

Aspect	Poor	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
Statement of the Problem			V		
Significance of Research		V			
Literature Review			V		
Methodology		V			
Quality of Data or Findings		V			
Results and Discussion				V	
Conclusion				V	
Readability and Writing Style			V		

C. ORIGINALITY OF THE PAPER AND ITS CONTRIBUTION TO THE FIELD

Please check the appropriate option

Aspect	None	Trivial	Modest	Important	Very Significant
Contribution to the field			V		

α	•	. 1		•
Other titles	covering	the	same	issues:

D. OVERALL REVIEWERS COMMENTS AND IMPRESIONS

E. OVERALL EVALUATION

Please check the appropriate option

	Reject	Accept with major Revision and further review	Accept with minor revision without further review	Accept unconditionally
Evaluation		V		

Reviewers recommendations on improvements and revision (if any):

- 1. Many typos that need to be corrected
- 2. writing format adjustment
- 3. improvement of research method
- 4. ES variable discussion improvement

F. CLASIFICATION OF THE PAPER

If the paper is accepted unconditionally or is accepted with minor revision without further review under the term that author has revised the paper according to the Reviewers recommendations on improvements and revision (which is evaluated by the chief editor) the paper should be classified as (Please check the appropriate option):

Research Paper	V
Review Article	
Short Communication	

SUMMARY REVIEW EDITING

Submission

Authors Nanang Shonhadji

Title Is Whistleblowing an Ethical Practice?

Section Articles

Editor Luciana Almilia 🖾

Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version 3222-10537-2-RV.DOCX 2022-09-13

Initiated 2022-09-13 Last modified 2022-12-02

Uploaded file Reviewer A 3222-11402-1-RV.DOC 2022-12-01

Reviewer A 3222-11402-2-RV.DOCX 2022-12-01 Reviewer B 3222-11421-1-RV.DOC 2022-12-02 Reviewer B 3222-11421-2-RV.DOCX 2022-12-02

Editor Decision

Decision Accept Submission 2022-12-16

Editor Version 3222-10631-1-ED.PDF 2022-09-13

3222-10631-2-ED.PDF 2022-09-13 3222-10631-3-ED.DOCX 2022-09-13 3222-10631-4-ED.DOCX 2022-12-16

Author Version 3222-11062-1-ED.DOCX 2022-10-23 DELETE

3222-11062-2-ED.DOCX 2022-12-05 DELETE

Upload Author Version

Choose File No file chosen

Upload

Reviewer Evaluation Form

A. GENERAL DATA ON PAPER

Manuscript No: 1835.3222-10535-2-SM

Manuscript Title: Is Whistleblowing an Ethical Practice?

B. GENERAL EVALUATION OF THE PAPER

Please check the appropriate option

Aspect	Poor	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
Statement of the Problem					V
Significance of Research					V
Literature Review					V
Methodology				V	
Quality of Data or Findings				V	
Results and Discussion				V	
Conclusion					V
Readability and Writing Style					V

C. ORIGINALITY OF THE PAPER AND ITS CONTRIBUTION TO THE FIELD

Please check the appropriate option

Aspect	None	Trivial	Modest	Important	Very Significant
Contribution to the field					V

Other titles covering the same issues:

D. OVERALL REVIEWERS COMMENTS AND IMPRESIONS

E. OVERALL EVALUATION

Please check the appropriate option

	Reject	Accept with major Revision and further review	Accept with minor revision without further review	Accept unconditionally
Evaluation			V	

Reviewers recommendations on improvements and revision (if any):

- 1. The author should add the contribution of this research at the end of introduction.
- 2. The author should add the context of research in public sector in literature review and in the discussion.
- 3. The hypotheses should be declared at the end of literature review.

4. Please correct the notation of numeric (0,592 etc to 0.592) and check all of the number in table and paragraph.

F. CLASIFICATION OF THE PAPER

If the paper is accepted unconditionally or is accepted with minor revision without further review under the term that author has revised the paper according to the Reviewers recommendations on improvements and revision (which is evaluated by the chief editor) the paper should be classified as (Please check the appropriate option):

Research Paper	V
Review Article	
Short Communication	

